

Development of a Transmission Vision

Prepared in Support of the 2004 Integrated Energy Policy Report Update Proceeding (03-IEP-01)

May 10, 2004

Judy Grau, Senior Mechanical Engineer Transmission Evaluation Program



Topics Covered

- Background
- Summary of Comments Received
- Draft Vision
- Next Steps



Background

- Purpose: Collaborate on the development of a long-term vision for the state's transmission system
- Process began at the April 5, 2004 IEPR Committee workshop on transmission
 - Presentation by Joe Eto on alternative scenarios of the state's transmission future
 - Staff presentation on potential drivers, process, and next steps
 - Round table discussion
 - Written comments received after workshop



Oral Comments

Joe Eto, CERTS Mark Galperin, CERC Gary DeShazo, CA ISO

Kevin Dasso, PG&E Patricia Arons, SCE David Korinek, SDG&E

Morteza Sabet, WAPA Mark Ward, LADWP James Feider, TANC

Jane Turnbull, LOWV Jane Bergen, LOWV Andrew Bozeman,

SSCDC

Francisco DaCosta, Bill Meyers, The Rich Ferguson, CEERT

Env. Justice Advocacy Valley Group

Tom Tanton, Vulcan, Perry Cole, Trans-Elect Bulant Bilir, Solargenix

Silvan, and PSWCHPI

Barry Flynn, Flynn Hal Romanowitz, Oak Richard Hammond,

RCI Creek Energy Optimal Technologies



Written Comments

- 1. James Avery, SDG&E
- 2. Tapani Seppa, The Valley Group
- 3. Cities of Temecula, Hemet, and Murrieta, and Save Southwest Riverside County
- 4. Bay Area Municipal Transmission Group
- 5. City and County of San Francisco



Common Themes Expressed

- Transmission is of great value.
 - But it is only one piece of the energy puzzle.
- The timing is right to develop a long-term vision.
 - But we must also take short-term actions.
- We must plan ahead for corridors and set aside rights-of-way.
 - But we must also make more efficient use of the existing system.



Common Themes Expressed

- Transmission planning must be done regionally, i.e., considering California's neighbors.
 - But we must also look at solving local reliability problems.
- We need to ensure that the vision demonstrates a commitment toward environmental stewardship and respect for people affected.
 - But land use constraints in some areas, e.g., San Diego,
 may require re-designation of state land.



Common Themes Expressed

- We need to be able to compare transmission and non-transmission alternatives on a level playing field, especially with respect to timing.
- All stakeholders (state agencies, local agencies and citizens, environmental groups, etc.) need to be involved in the vision process.



Draft Vision Development

- Diversity of comments and themes suggests that we initially need to take a step back
 - Look for common areas of consensus on principles that should guide a vision
 - Receive feedback from stakeholders on relative importance of themes and principles



Draft Vision Guiding Principles

- 1) Be long-lasting (but not inflexible.)
- 2) Contribute toward a sustainable energy future.
- 3) Create a transmission system that can handle unpredictable conditions.
- 4) Guide both long-term and short-term needs.
- 5) Be developed with consideration for neighboring states and Mexico, as well as local areas and citizens.
- 6) Be developed as soon as possible in order to prevent the foreclosure of transmission expansion opportunities.



Draft Vision Specific Short-term Actions

- 1) Initiate corridor planning.
- 2) Investigate land use banking.
- 3) Continue efforts to demonstrate and deploy technologies that allow the existing system to be used more efficiently.



Next Steps

- Receive feedback from stakeholders on accuracy and completeness of staff's summary of comments
- Receive feedback on the relative importance of themes and principles
- Receive feedback on the three specific short-term actions
- Present results at next transmission workshop, scheduled for June 14